

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 EULA CARTER,

No. C-07-1088 MMC

12 Plaintiff,

v.

13 MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,

14 Defendant

**ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO  
INFORM COURT WHETHER HE  
CONSENTS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
FOR ALL PURPOSES**

15 \_\_\_\_\_ /  
16 In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to  
17 reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final  
18 judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b).

19 On October 3, 2007, plaintiff consented to have a magistrate judge conduct all  
20 further proceedings in the instant action. Accordingly, defendant is hereby DIRECTED to  
21 advise the Court, no later than December 21, 2007, as to whether he consents to have a  
22 magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.<sup>1</sup> For his  
23 convenience, a consent form is attached hereto; forms are also available at  
24 <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section.

25 //

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup>Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their Joint  
28 Case Management Statement filed in connection with a case management conference.  
Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case  
management conference has not been scheduled.

1       The parties are further advised that they may request assignment to a specific  
2 magistrate judge.

3       **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4

5 Dated: December 10, 2007

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

*Maxine M. Chesney*  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge